

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re i	Patent Application of	MAIL STOP						
Rajes	h K. Garg et al.	Group Art Unit: 1791						
Applic	cation No.: 10/649,787	Examiner: JOSE A FORTUNA						
Filing	Date: August 28, 2003	Confirmation No.: 5592						
Title:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PREPARING A SLURRY OF ADD-ON MATERIAL TO BE APPLIED TO A WEB							
SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TRANSMITTAL LETTER								
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450								
Sir:	•							
Enclosed is a reply for the above-identified patent application.								
	A Petition for Extension of Time is enclosed.							
	Terminal Disclaimer(s) and the \$\sum \$65 \$\sum \$130\$ fee per Disclaimer due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) are enclosed.							
\boxtimes	Also enclosed is: Declaration by Rajesh K. Garg and Tony Phan Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132							
	Small entity status is hereby claimed.							
	Applicant(s) requests continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the \$\square\$ \$405 \$\square\$ \$810 fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).							
	Applicant(s) requests that any previously unentered after final amendments <u>not</u> be entered. Continued examination is requested based on the enclosed documents identified above.							

Applicant(s) requests suspension of action by the Office until at least

in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c). The required fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i)

_____ on ____

, which does not exceed three months from the filing of this RCE,

for which

is enclosed.

Applicant(s) previously submitted

continued examination is requested.

	A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (1809/2809) is also enclosed.							
\boxtimes	No additional claim fee is required.							
	An additional claim fee is required, and is calculated as shown below:							
AMENDED CLAIMS								
		No. of Claims	Highest No. of Claims Previously Paid For	Extra Claims	Rate	Additional Fee		
Total Claims		5	22	0	x \$ 50 (1202)	\$		
Independent Claims		1	4	0	x \$ 210 (1201)			
☐ If Amendment adds multiple dependent claims, add \$ 370 (1203) \$						\$		
Total Claim Amendment Fee \$								
☐ Small Entity Status claimed - subtract 50% of Total Claim Amendment Fee								
TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIM FEE DUE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$								
	Charge to Deposit Account No. 02-4800 for the fee due.							
	A check in the amount of is enclosed for the fee due.							
	Charge to credit card for the fee due. Form PTO-2038 is attached.							
	The Director is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.							

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date March 13, 2008

By: Regis E. Slutter

Registration No. 26,999

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 703 836 6620



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	Mail Stop Amendment	
Rajesh K. Garg et al.)	Group Art Unit: 1731	
Application No.: 10/649,787)	Examiner: Jose A. Fortuna	
Filed: August 28, 2003)	Confirmation No.: 5592	
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR)		

ON MATERIAL TO BE APPLIED TO

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

A WEB

Sir:

On January 25, 2008, in response to a new rejection interposed in the above-identified patent application, Applicants, through counsel, requested that prosecution in this application be reopened and included a reply, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, directed to the new grounds of rejection made in the Examiner's Answer.

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the outstanding rejections has already been requested in view of the remarks presented on January 25, 2008. Submitted with this supplemental response is a Declaration by Rajesh K. Garg and Tony Phan Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132, the inventors, which traverses the factual bases asserted for the new rejection and supplements the previously filed response. As the attached declaration demonstrates, the alleged factual predicate for the new rejection does not exist. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that the conclusions of

Attorney Docket No. 1021238-000578

Application No. 10/649,787

Page 2

unpatentability are erroneous, particularly in light of the contrary conclusions of

persons actually working in the field.

CONCLUSION

Based on the explanations of the reply filed January 25, 2008, and the

attached declaration, further and favorable action in the form of a Notice of

Allowance is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner feel that any issues remain, it

is requested that the undersigned be contacted so that any such issues may be

adequately addressed and prosecution of the instant application expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY P.C.

Date: March 13, 2008

Registration No. 26,999

P.O. Box 1404

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404

Telephone: 703-836-6620